NIAGARA FRONTIER TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

ANNUAL MEETING

APRIL 28, 2022

Transcript of Video Recording of Proceedings held at NIAGARA FRONTIER TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY, 181 Ellicott Street, Buffalo New York, STENOGRAPHICALLY TRANSCRIBED BY LARA K. SENIW, NOTARY PUBLIC.

MEMBERS IN THE BOARDROOM: 1 Sister Denise Roche, Chair Commissioner Michael Hughes, Vice Chair 2 Commissioner LaVonne Ansari, Secretary 3 Commissioner Joan Aul, Treasurer Commissioner Anthony Baynes 4 Commissioner Adam Perry Commissioner Stephen Tucker 5 OFFICERS IN THE BOARDROOM: 6 Kimberley Minkel, Executive Director John Cox, Chief Financial Officer 7 STAFF IN THE BOARDROOM: 8 Vicky-Marie Brunette, Deputy General Counsel Pascal Cohen, Senior Marketing Manager & Aviation Business Development 9 Steve Duquette, Chief Information Officer Tom George, Vice President, Operations 10 Hal Morse, Director, NITTEC Karen Novo, Vice President of Human Resources and Talent Management Brian Patterson, Chief of Police 11 Mark Pereira, Manager, Procurement 12 John Schaefer, Vice President Engineering and Facilities Management Helen Tederous, Director, Public Affairs 13 Bill Vanecek, Director, Aviation Matt Van Vessem, Director, Labor Relations 14 Lee Weitz, Deputy Director, Aviation MEMBERS ON THE PHONE: 15 Reverend Mark Blue Commissioner Margo Downey 16 Commissioner Wesley Hicks, Jr. 17 Commissioner Jennifer Persico Commissioner Philip Wilcox 18 19 OFFICERS ABSENT: David State, General Counsel 20 CHAIR ROCHE: Good afternoon. This is the beginning of our 21 22 Annual Board Meeting held on April 28th, 2022, for the Niagara Frontier 23 Transportation Authority and Niagara Frontier Transit Metro System. And 24 we are going to begin with the approval of the Minutes and before that, 25 let us call the roll. Excuse me. 26 MS. BRUNETTE: This is a roll call for attendance. 27 Commissioner Ansari? 28 COMMISSIONER ANSARI:

1 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Aul?
2 COMMISSIONER AUL: Here.

4 COMMISSIONER BAYNES: Here.

5 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Blue? Commissioner Blue?

Commissioner Baynes?

6 Commissioner Downey?

MS. BRUNETTE:

3

8

17

7 COMMISSIONER DOWNEY: Here.

MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Hicks? Commissioner Hicks?

MS. SENIW: He's coming in right now.

10 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Hicks, are you on?

11 | COMMISSIONER HICKS: I am. Thank you, I am on. Thank you very much.

12 MS. BRUNETTE: Thank you. Commissioner Hughes?

13 | COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Here.

14 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Perry stepped out I believe.

15 Commissioner Persico?

16 | COMMISSIONER PERSICO: Here.

MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Tucker?

18 | COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Present.

19 MS. BRUNETTE: Sister Denise?

20 | CHAIR ROCHE: Here.

21 MS. BRUNETTE: And Commissioner Wilcox?

22 | COMMISSIONER WILCOX: Here.

23 MS. BRUNETTE: You have a quorum.

24 CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you very much Vicky. I am going to ask

for a motion for the approval of the Minutes. The last meeting was

26 March 24th, of 2022.

27 COMMISSIONER AUL: So moved.

28 | CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you. And a second?

COMMISSIONER ANSARI: I second.

2 COMMISSIONER WILCOX: Second.

3 CHAIR ROCHE: All in favor?

4 | COMMISSIONERS ROCHE, HUGHES, ANSARI, AUL, BAYNES, HICKS, PERSICO, TUCKER and

WILCOX: Aye.

CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you very much. I am going to ask Kim to

do the Executive Director's report.

MS. MINKEL: Thank you Sister. A couple of things for the Board. First, next week I will be sending out your annual Board Self-Evaluations. These are anonymous so please complete and you can either email or mail them back to Lara and then the Board can review them next month in Executive Session. I also wanted to mention to the Board that we're working with the City of Buffalo who will soon be issuing an RFQ for the Development of the Lasalle Station Area. So, both the city and NFTA own the property adjacent to the Lasalle Station so we're working in tandem with the city on transit-oriented development, similar to what we've done at the DL&W and what we are trying to do with all of

I mentioned at the Aviation Committee Meeting that Bill Vanecek is retiring. This will be his last Meeting. We have promoted Lee Weitz to be the Aviation Director going forward. So, I wanted to say a few words regarding Bill. Bill's been with us for twenty-three and a half years and he has provided a tremendous service to the NFTA and to the community. So, I thought I would highlight some of the high points and the low points...

MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter.

MS. MINKEL: ...of his career here at the NFTA. Don't worry

Bill…

our stations.

MR. VANECEK: Save the low points for the end.

MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

MS. MINKEL: Not roasting you. That is for tomorrow. So,

Bill started with the NFTA on November 9, 1998. Almost exactly one year to the day after the new terminal opened at the Buffalo Airport. On February 11, 2000, JetBlue had its first flight, and it was out of the Buffalo Airport and on October 8, 2000, Southwest began flying out of Buffalo. The low-cost carriers were a game changer for the airport and for the region. This was really a turning point because with the addition of these carriers, the airport soon became more attractive to Canadians flying out of the area. There was an article in Business First a number of years ago where they asked a number of electeds what they thought was really the beginning of the renaissance for Buffalo and Senator Schumer pointed to the Buffalo Airport and said that was really the beginning of the renaissance for Western New York. So as the result of JetBlue and Southwest, the airport terminal went from 15 gates to 24 gates in 2001 to accommodate the increased service and passengers. And to accommodate this growth, parking was expanded, then it was expanded again and then it was expanded again. Under Bill, new service and airlines continued over the years including international service with Sunwing and ultra-low-cost carriers such as Frontier Airlines. In Niagara Falls, a new terminal was built and opened on September 2, 2009. In 2011 and 2012, Spirit and Allegiant Airlines started service out of Niagara Falls. And then focusing on the community in 2016, the Buffalo Airport completed a 5-year Quieter Homes Program, and improving and mitigating noise for over 1,100 homes in the community. Aviation is critically important to our region and generates over \$1.5 billion, with a "b", annually to our region so it's important

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

that we get it right and Bill has done just that. Under Bill's leadership, both airports have received numerous Balchen Post awards, over the many years, the TSA Gold Standard for Security, and the Buffalo Airport was recognized among the best airports in all of North America for customer service by J.D. Power. Bill helped guide us through significant challenges in the industry as well. Sadly, aviation felt the impact of 9/11 in 2001, the Colgan Flight 3407 Crash on February 12, 2009, and the challenges of the past two years of the pandemic. The world of flight has changed and will continue to change, but based on Bill's leadership and steady hand we are positioned to take flight for the future. I want to thank Bill for all that he has done over the past twenty-three and a half years and his incredible service to the community, to the NFTA. I wish you nothing but the best in the future, and a very long, healthy, and safe retirement. Congratulations.

MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS:

Clapping.

Thank you. If I could just acknowledge myself, MR. VANACEK: I couldn't ever imagine a better place to land than Buffalo Airport and Niagara Falls Airport...

MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter.

MR. VANECEK: ...I know that is a little bit of a pun, but it was. So, you know, my career over the past, you know, it was something I never thought I would get in to. I was a CPA by trade, and we had moved to Dallas for a few years, about four (4) years before we came back, and I was interviewing for the CFO job and Larry Meckler, the Executive Director at the time, had targeted already someone internally for that job and it was Deb Williams back in the day. And so, we got through the interview processes, and he kept going back to, have you

ever thought about working at an airport? I kept saying, not really, I want to be the CFO. And next thing you know he says, I am going to let you oversee two (2) airports, you're going to run your own businesses out there and that is how I got into the business. And when I tell that to people in the airport business who have done it for years, you know, they climb the ladder to get into the Director position, and I just ran right into one, which was amazing, and I am so grateful for that. And I get a lot of support early on, we had a lot, well I'm calling it what it is, a tough Chairman at the time, Luiz Kahl, but he was very well intentioned. You know, you can like what his vision was, you did not necessarily liked his methods on how he wanted to get it done. But somehow, we found that equilibrium that he didn't fire me within five (5) years.

MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter.

MR. VANECEK: So, I figured after five (5) years, I was good.

I was going to be able to keep going on this journey. It's great and as we started to go, you know we mentioned the Canadians coming over. We really did need to expand because two (2) low-cost carriers, as Kim mentioned earlier, you know, we didn't want to turn those folks away with long lines and things of that nature. So, fortunately we were able to go out to the bond market, get some money to get the terminals expanded, and you know, it was a game changer for Western New York. And at one point, but it wasn't me, I don't do that. The Board Members all had to approve this, and they had to buy into, you know, what we were talking about. I just can't give enough credit to this Board, and you really rarely told me no on anything, thank goodness, but a...

MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter.

MR. VANECEK: So, I was really, really happy to work there.

And you know, Lee, right now, coming up, I can't say enough about this man right here. When I, he… He worked for US Airways for a long time.

He was running the Philadelphia hub which is kind of hell for airport managers.

MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter.

MR. VANECEK:

And so he decided enough was enough, he's a

Buffalo native, and so he came back and so he was doing the US Airways

manager job on an interim basis until he could find a job and we got to

chatting and we got to talking about it and I said "hey, you ever think

about working at the airport?" and thank goodness he said "yup, I'll do

it" and so he joined us in 2015 and...

MR. WEITZ: '05.

MR. VANECEK: ...in 2005 and you know, he was a game changer for us. He really had the knowledge of the airline's side that we could incorporate on the airport side. And so that we were making better decisions about how we develop the airport and the types of customer services that we wanted to provide and so I give a heck of a lot of credit to Lee and so I am so thrilled to talk about given the fact that he is going to be and is now our new Aviation Director. I don't want to steal your thunder, I know you kind of already said it...

MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter.

MR. VANECEK: ...but um you know it was well, well deserved and

I know I kept badgering Kim through the whole process...

MS. MINKEL: Yes, you did.

25 | MR. VANECEK: ...telling her when I was going to retire and

kept saying "he's the guy, he's the guy, he's the guy".

27 | MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter.

MR. VANECEK: But they had to go out necessarily and follow

protocols, but there was no doubt in my mind that the cream was going to rise to the top in Lee Weitz and you are very fortunate to have him 2 here and he's going to do a great job following me. Probably do a 3 4 better job than what I did. MR. WEITZ: 5 That's not possible. 6 MR. VANECEK: Yeah, no you've earned everything you've done, 7 so, it's been great for me. And like I said, I'm going to miss it. I'm 8 glad to have been a part of the renaissance of the air service in 9 Western New York and I will be utilizing those services for another reason now and that will be for taking some time off, and flying and 10 meeting up with old friends around the country and I'm looking forward 11 to it. So, again, thank you very much for the opportunity. I can't be 12 more appreciative of that, and I wish you all very well, as the 13 14 Authority and certainly as the airport system goes. So, thank you very 15 much. MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Clapping. 16 17 MS. MINKEL: And that concludes my report, Sister. CHAIR ROCHE: 18 Thank you. Bill, we expect to see you a lot at the airport but as a passenger. [Laughter.] 19 20 MR. VANECEK: But I will still pick up trash off the floor if 21 there is any. 22 MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter. MR. VANECEK: 23 I have one (1) rule when at the airport. I don't want to see anything on the floor. 2.4 MR. WEITZ: We're trying to recruit him as an ambassador. 25 26 MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter. CHAIR ROCHE: 27 Oh good, good. Oh my. [Laughter.] Thank

1

28

you so very much. Now I'm going to ask John Cox to give us the

financials.

MR. COX:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Commissioners. Since it is the end of the fiscal year, we're just giving some financial highlights and of course these are still subject to audit adjustments. Across the board on our major revenues and operating assistance both, for the month of March, fiscal year to date, we have favorable variances. Of course with Metro passenger fares, as Tom George mentioned earlier today, you know, we're at about fifty-five percent (55%) ridership comparable to 2019. As you can imagine, when we put this budget together, like in February of last year, and at that point in time we were in the depths of COVID. So, of course, we didn't know exactly what the, what the trend would be and where we would end up at the end of this fiscal year. So, even though we're at fifty-five percent (55%), we're certainly much better than we anticipated and, but we still have a ways to go. And BNIA concessions and commissions those were approximately on year-to-date basis \$7.6 million favorable and that is, of course, that's based on the anticipated or on budget increase in enplanements at the airport and that's running approximately eighty percent (80%) of 2019 numbers. Erie County sales tax, I think we can see the benefits of the stimulus money that actually gave people money in their pockets to spend which generated a lot of sales tax revenue for us. Mortgage tax ran way above budget, and I think that is due to rates hitting historic levels, a big run of people that ran out to refinance their mortgage along with the higher prices and the demand for real estate. And the New York State STOA number, of course, that's when we put our budget together, we put it together based on the Executive Budget. And this increase was anticipated but it was just a matter of timing. What happened? We ended

Yes, thank you Sister. So, good afternoon,

22

21

2526

24

2728

up about approximately seven percent (7%) more for the budget when the final state budget was passed. Now on an operating expense basis, we had favorable variances in our salary and overtime and of course, this is just sick leave and incentives. Excuse me, this is just salary and overtime and excludes sick leave, incentives, vacation accruals and other benefits. This coming, this favorable variance is being driven by some service modifications, and lower overtime, we had below budget maintenance and repairs, coming in lower than we had planned for the year and of course, those favorable variances were slightly offset by transit fuel power, being driven by higher fuel costs, utilities, higher electricity costs and insurance and injuries essentially ended up on budget. Now, again, as I mentioned before, this is subject to audit adjustments. We're still in the process of working through our financial statements. We're working with the auditors. They're still in doing the audit and for the June meeting, for your consideration, we will be bringing the audited financial statements. And as Pat Dalton mentioned earlier today at the Committee Meeting, that is due, that statement is due ninety (90) days after the end of the fiscal year which will be June 30th. And at that point, we put that into PARIS and of course Pat Dalton uploads that to the State. And that concludes the financials, unless there are any questions?

CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you John. Any questions for John on the financials? Thank you very much. It is time for the Corporate Resolutions for the Audit, Governance and Finance Committee and I'm going to take the first two (2) resolutions because they are similar. We elect officers at this meeting for the coming year. And I am going to take both one (1) and two (2) together, the Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority and the Niagara Frontier Metro Transit System,

Inc. because the officers that I am going to ask to nominate are the 2 same for both. So, I would ask for a motion to have Vice Chair 3 Commissioner Mike Hughes, Secretary Commissioner LaVonne Ansari and 4 Treasurer Commissioner Joan Aul. May I have a motion? COMMISSIONER PERRY: So moved. 5 CHAIR ROCHE: 6 And a second? 7 COMMISSIONER BAYNES: Second. 8 CHAIR ROCHE: Are there any comments? Questions? Any 9 other nominations? All in favor of this resolution, we will do it by 10 name call. MS. BRUNETTE: OK. Roll call vote for Corporate Resolutions 11 12 One (1) and Two (2). Commissioner Ansari? COMMISSIONER ANSARI: 13 Aye. MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Aul? 14 COMMISSIONER AUL: 15 Yes. 16 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Baynes? 17 COMMISSIONER BAYNES: Aye. MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Blue? 18 COMMISSIONER BLUE: 19 Aye. MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Hicks? 20 COMMISSIONER HICKS: 21 Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Hughes? 22 23 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: 24 Commissioner Perry? 25 COMMISSIONER PERRY: Aye. MS. BRUNETTE: 26 Commissioner Persico? 27 COMMISSIONER PERSICO: Yes.

1

MS. BRUNETTE:

28

Commissioner Tucker?

COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Aye.

2.4

MS. BRUNETTE: Sister Denise?

3 CHAIR ROCHE: Yes.

MS. BRUNETTE: And Commissioner Wilcox?

COMMISSIONER WILCOX: Yes.

CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you very much Vicky. And congratulations to our new and continuing officers for the coming year. Thank you very much for saying yes. I am going to call on Kim now to take us through the rest of the Resolutions.

MS. MINKEL:

Yup. Thank you, Sister. So, I am going to take

Corporate Resolutions three (3) thru twenty (20). I know it sounds like
a lot, but I think we can go through them rather quickly. The first one
is on page nine (9). It is best practice for the Board to review on an
annual basis the Mission Statement. This Mission Statement, Staff is
not recommending any changes from last year. The focus essentially is
"we're committed to providing safe, efficient and professional
transportation service that enhances the quality of life in the Buffalo
Niagara Region in a manner consistent with the needs of our customers".

There are four (4) main areas - the property areas, aviation, surface,
property and support services - are the four (4) business sections
within the Authority.

The next Corporate Resolution on page ten (10) is authorization for the approval of the Whistleblower's Policy. Staff is not recommending any changes from last year when the Board approved it. The next Corporate Resolution on page thirteen (13) is the approval for Harassment in the Workplace Policy. The policy is attached. Staff is not recommending any changes since the Board approved it in April of 2021. The next Corporate Resolution is on page seventeen (17). These

are investment guidelines for both the NFTA and our Metro System. Again Staff is not recommending any changes to this policy.

Corporate Resolution Seven (7) is our Master Liability
Management Policy. Staff is not recommending any changes since it was
reviewed and approved by the Board last year. As a point of note, this
is the policy that includes the permissible hedging instruments that
Joan mentioned earlier, and limitations on the use. It also protects
our revenue and investment earnings from adverse fluctuations in
interest rates. All of that is set forth in this policy. The next
Corporate Resolution Number Eight (8) is on page thirty-seven (37).
This is our Guidelines for the Dispositions and Transfers of Capital
and Non-Capital Assets. Again, Staff is not recommending any changes
from what was last approved by the Board last year.

Corporate Resolution Number Nine (9) is the approval for the Guidelines on the Acquisition and Disposition of Real Property. The Guidelines are attached. No changes were made since the Board approved it last year. Corporate Resolution Number Ten (10) is our Travel Policy and Guidelines for both NFTA and Metro. The Policy is attached. There are minor changes. Really just wordsmithing changes, nothing material in the Policy that Staff is recommending from last year when the Board approved it.

The next Corporate Resolution Number Eleven (11) is our Aviation Capital Grant Program that would provide just under \$1.3 million in NYSDOT funding for the installation of the emergency power generators at the Buffalo Airport. Staff is recommending the Board approve this contract with NYSDOT with the acceptance of those funds. Corporate Resolution Number Twelve (12) on page fifty-nine (59), Staff recommends a one-year renewal with Smartnet with FirstLight at a

total cost of \$165,145.31. This renews our hardware and software support for our Cisco routers and switches throughout our system. The renewal is being procured through OGS contract.

Corporate Resolution Number Thirteen (13) on page sixty (60). This is an agreement with FirstLight. It's a three (3) year subscription for a Cisco Umbrella Secure Internet Gateway Advantage in the total amount of \$127,016.64. The three-year subscription was procured under OGS procurement and this will help provide an added layer of security by pushing web traffic through a secure proxy that adds Domain Name System filtering, data loss prevention and other features.

Corporate Resolution Number Fourteen (14). Staff is recommending that the Board authorize a three-year agreement with Dell EMC for extended hardware support for fifteen (15) servers for a total cost of \$38,055.00. This will extend the operational life of the servers for three (3) years. Again this procurement is being done through OGS. Corporate Resolution Number Fifteen (15) is an agreement with Dell EMC. This is for Microsoft licenses for a total cost of \$139,064.08. As part of this agreement, we will have licenses for all employees going forward here at the NFTA. Currently we do not. This will also add multiple mobility and security capabilities to our Office330, Office365 licenses, and it will help increase security and lower our cybersecurity risk. And this procurement was also through OGS.

Corporate Resolution Number Sixteen (16). Staff is recommending the Board authorize a four-year collective bargaining agreement with our Buffalo Niagara Airport Firefighters. This will take us through the end of March 31st, 2026. Karen had mentioned this earlier

28

as part of her report. The Firefighters represent approximately thirtysix (36) Officers. First year of the agreement, Staff is recommending \$1.50 equity adjustment which equates to 6.1% in their wages. In years, 2, 3 and 4, there would be a 2.35% increase going forward. Additionally, those Officers that obtain and retain their EMT Certification, will receive an additional one hundred sixty (160) hours of pay per year, which is an increase from the one hundred forty (140) hours pay per year. These folks do an incredible job. They respond to a number of emergencies at the Buffalo Airport. We think it's a fair agreement considering all of the work that they've done for us in the past and going forward. Similarly on page sixty-four (64), Corporate Resolution Number Seventeen (17), Staff is recommending a Collective Bargaining Agreement with Local Union 1342 of the ATU. This would be a three-year agreement that would go through July 31st, 2025. This agreement was opened up. It had not expired and the ATU had agreed to sit down early to re-open the contract due to some of the challenges we've had in terms of with recruitment. This will help us going forward in terms of recruitment and also to provide more reliable service going forward. As part of the agreement, the members would receive an equity adjustment of either nine percent (9%) or the equivalent of \$2.00 an hour. Additionally the first tier, the lower tier would be eliminated, so this allows us to have a starting wage of \$20.00 an hour for drivers to help with the recruitment. In the subsequent years, it would be a 2%, 2% and 2.25% increase. There would also be increases to longevity pay over two (2) years with the goal of encouraging retention of longserving employees. I mentioned earlier that fifty percent (50%) of our workforce is eligible for retirement. So, to help slow that down, we think it's important to do all we can to retain them and slow that

exodus as much as possible. Additional, we are adding Juneteenth and recognizing it as a holiday and we're actually doing that for all of our employees going forward. Effective January 1, 2024, there will be an increase in contribution by the employees in terms of their health insurance so it will be twelve percent (12%) of the monthly premium. You know, these are employees who are on the front line during the pandemic. Day in, day out, they came to work, provided service and they do an incredible job. I also want to recognize Matt Van Vessem, Karen Novo and Tom George and thank them for their help in going through the negotiations, which as you know, can always be a challenging prospect and very public process so thank you very much for your help in bringing what I think is a fair and equitable agreement for all sides.

2.4

Corporate Resolution Number Eighteen (18) is the authorization for approval and procurement of road salt. We do this on an annual basis. We ask for the Board's approval to enter in before we get to purchase the road salt way in advance, we anticipate we would need approximately 2,100 tons of road salt. We do that through OGS and through Erie County contracts and we need that commitment before the end of April in order to secure it for next season. Even though we have snow today, hopefully we won't use it until next season.

Corporate Resolution Number Nineteen (19) is for a lease agreement with Guardian Recovery Services. This is for office space at 247 Cayuga Road. This would be a one-year agreement with the option of two additional one-year extensions based on our approval. The initial rental lease rate will be \$11.50 per square foot or just over \$9,100.00 for the first year and it would have a three percent (3%) annual escalator. And then the final Corporate Resolution, Rick had mentioned it earlier as part of his report, is a lease amendment, a second lease

Amendment with Top Seedz for space at 247 Cayuga. They're looking for 2 an additional 1,300 square feet of warehouse space. It would be at the same rate, \$6.95 per square foot. It would terminate and align based on 3 4 their current lease that they have. So, the total rent for the 5 seventeen (17) month term will be just under \$13,500.00. And like the 6 other conditions of the lease, it is set to expire at the end of next 7 year. There would be a three percent (3%) annual escalator that would 8 start on October 1, 2022. And those are the Corporate Resolutions. 9 CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you. Well done. I am going to suggest with this number of resolutions that, unless there is an objection from 10 a Commissioner, we would take number three (3) to and including number 11 12 fifteen (15) as a group. Anyone object? OK, I am going to ask for a 13 roll call vote since only half of us in this room. Vicky, would you 14 please? 15 MS. BRUNETTE: This is a roll call vote for Corporate 16 Resolutions... CHAIR ROCHE: 17 We need a motion to accept and a second. COMMISSIONER AUL: 18 So moved. CHAIR ROCHE: Good. And a second? 19 COMMISSSIONER ANSARI: 20 Second. 21 CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you. MS. BRUNETTE: 22 This is a roll call vote for Corporate 23 Resolutions Three (3) through Fifteen (15). Commissioner Ansari? 24 COMMISSIONER ANSARI: Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Aul? 25 COMMISSIONER AUL: 26 Yes. 27 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Baynes?

1

28

COMMISSIONER BAYNES:

Yes.

1 | MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Blue?

2 | COMMISSIONER BLUE: Yes.

3 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Blue?

4 COMMISSIONER BLUE: Yes.

5 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Downey. Oh. My apologies. No vote

6 there. Commissioner Hicks?

7 COMMISSIONER HICKS: Yes.

8 | MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Hughes?

9 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Yes.

10 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Perry?

11 | COMMISSIONER PERRY: Aye.

12 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Persico?

13 COMMISSIONER PERSICO: Yes.

14 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Tucker?

15 | COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Yes.

16 MS. BRUNETTE: Sister Denise?

17 | CHAIR ROCHE: Yes.

18 MS. BRUNETTE: And Commissioner Wilcox?

19 COMMISSIONER WILCOX: Yes.

20 MS. BRUNETTE: The items are approved.

21 | CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you very much. Now I am going to ask that

22 we take Resolution Sixteen (16) by itself and I would ask for a motion.

23 COMMISSIONER PERRY: So moved.

24 CHAIR ROCHE: And a second?

25 | COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Second.

26 CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you and I am going to ask for a roll call

27 vote again.

28 MS. BRUNETTE: Roll call vote for Item, Corporate Item Sixteen

(16). Commissioner Ansari? 1 2 COMMISSIONER ANSARI: Yes. 3 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Aul? COMMISSIONER AUL: MS. BRUNETTE: 5 Commissioner Baynes? COMMISSIONER BAYNES: 6 7 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Blue? COMMISSIONER BLUE: 8 Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: 9 Commissioner Hicks? COMMISSIONER HICKS: 10 Yes. 11 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Hughes? COMMISSIONER HUGHES: 12 Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: 13 Commissioner Perry? COMMISSIONER PERRY: Aye. 14 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Persico? 15 16 COMMISSIONER PERSICO: Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Tucker? 17 COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Yes. 18 MS. BRUNETTE: Sister Denise? 19 CHAIR ROCHE: Yes. 20 MS. BRUNETTE: And Commissioner Wilcox? 21 COMMISSIONER WILCOX: Yes. 22 MS. BRUNETTE: 23 The item is approved. CHAIR ROCHE: 24 Thank you very much Vicky. And now number 25 seventeen (17) by itself please and then we'll do the next three (3) as 26 a group if there are no objections. COMMISSIONER PERRY: 27 So moved.

So may I... OK. And a second?

CHAIR ROCHE:

28

1 | COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Second.

2 | CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you very much.

3 MS. BRUNETTE: This is a roll call vote for Corporate

4 Resolution Number Seventeen (17). Commissioner Ansari?

5 | COMMISSIONER ANSARI: Yes.

6 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Aul?

7 COMMISSIONER AUL: Yes.

8 | MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Baynes?

9 COMMISSIONER BAYNES: Yes.

10 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Blue?

11 | COMMISSIONER BLUE: Yes.

12 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Hicks?

13 COMMISSIONER HICKS: Yes.

14 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Hughes?

15 | COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Yes.

16 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Perry?

17 COMMISSIONER PERRY: Aye.

18 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Persico?

19 COMMISSIONER PERSICO: Yes.

20 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Tucker?

21 | COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Yes.

22 MS. BRUNETTE: Sister Denise?

23 CHAIR ROCHE: Yes.

24 MS. BRUNETTE: And Commissioner Wilcox?

25 | COMMISSIONER WILCOX: Yes.

28

26 MS. BRUNETTE: And item Seventeen (17) is approved.

27 | CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you very much again. And number eighteen

(18), nineteen (19) and twenty (20) as a group together. May I have a

motion? And a second? 1 COMMISSIONER AUL: So moved. 3 CHAIR ROCHE: And a second? COMMISSIONER PERRY: Second. CHAIR ROCHE: Is there any conversation, objection, comment? 5 6 If not, we'll have a roll call vote. 7 MS. BRUNETTE: This is a roll call vote for Corporate 8 Resolutions Eighteen (18) through Twenty (20). Commissioner Ansari? COMMISSIONER ANSARI: Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: 10 Commissioner Aul? 11 COMMISSIONER AUL: Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: 12 Commissioner Baynes? COMMISSIONER BAYNES: 13 Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Blue? 14 COMMISSIONER BLUE: Yes. 15 16 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Hicks? COMMISSIONER HICKS: 17 Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Hughes? 18 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: 19 Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Perry? 20 COMMISSIONER PERRY: I'm abstaining on Number Twenty (20). 21 22 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Persico? 23 COMMISSIONER PERSICO: Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: 24 Commissioner Tucker? 25 COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: Sister Denise? 26

And Commissioner Wilcox?

Yes.

CHAIR ROCHE:

MS. BRUNETTE:

27

28

COMMISSIONER WILCOX: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MS. BRUNETTE: The items are approved.

CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you very much. We have just gotten

through a great deal of business for the day. We're going to go now to the Aviation Business Group Report, and I'll turn it over the Commissioner Perry.

COMMISSIONER PERRY: Sister, the Aviation Committee met earlier today, and Mr. Schaefer went through some of our basic airport improvement project updates, mainly airport runway rehab at BNIA. And some division strategies to negate shortages and bottlenecks and other BNIA items and also NFIA projects in process. There was an announcement/discussion about Mr. Vanecek's retirement and Lee Weitz prepared/presented his first official report as our new Director of Airports and Aviation, noting that enplanements are still down about twenty-two percent (22%) from 2019 at BNIA but we're growing and returning to pre-pandemic normal and hopefully getting better. So, at NFIA, still down in flights and seats however hopeful that this will improve, all of which is impacted by various merger and acquisition activity and a variety of other factors. And we had a longer presentation on how some of our March and April advertising attracted Canadian media to do a series of segments about the airport. And that was the basics of the meeting. I believe we just might have a resolution.

MS. MINKEL: We do. We have one (1) resolution. So starting on page seventy-one (71), Staff is recommending a change order which would be an increase to Union Concrete Construction in the amount of \$119,700.00. And the request for the change order is to make some critical repairs that have been noted out onsite. Drainage repairs on

North Airport Drive, parking lot repairs on the North Airport Drive, 1 FBO drainage repairs and some joint and pavement repairs that add up to 2 3 just under \$120,000.00. On that John Schaefer had mentioned earlier in 4 his report. And those are the resolutions. Just one (1), new. CHAIR ROCHE: 5 I am going to ask for a motion to accept that... 6 COMMISSIONER PERRY: So moved. 7 CHAIR ROCHE: And a second? 8 COMMISSIONER AUL: Second. 9 MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter. 10 CHAIR ROCHE: Is there any comment, conversation? COMMISSIONER BAYNES: Sister, I will abstain from this vote. 11 CHAIR ROCHE: 12 OK. Thank you. Take a roll call vote please. 13 MS. BRUNETTE: This is a roll call vote for Aviation Number One (1). Commissioner Ansari? 14 1.5 COMMISSIONER ANSARI: 16 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Aul? 17 COMMISSIONER AUL: Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: 18 Commissioner Baynes is abstaining. Commissioner Blue? 19 COMMISSIONER BLUE: 20 Yes. Commissioner Hicks? 21 MS. BRUNETTE: COMMISSIONER HICKS: 22 Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: 23 Commissioner Hughes? COMMISSIONER HUGHES: 24 Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Perry? 25 COMMISSIONER PERRY: 26 Aye.

Yes.

Commissioner Persico?

27

28

MS. BRUNETTE:

COMMISSIONER PERSICO:

MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Tucker?

2 COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Yes.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3 MS. BRUNETTE: Sister Denise?

4 CHAIR ROCHE: Yes.

5 MS. BRUNETTE: And Commissioner Wilcox?

6 | COMMISSIONER WILCOX: Yes.

7 MS. BRUNETTE: The item is approved.

CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you. Now we turn to the Surface

Transportation Business Group Report and the Surface Transportation

Committee met this morning as well. We're going to turn it over to Tom

George.

MR. GEORGE: Yes. Good afternoon. We did have a Surface

Transportation Committee this morning. We spent a little time talking about the Charter and that there are no changes to that. We also talked about Metro Service Update and indicated we are going to reinstate the Express Service effective 6/19 once our latest class does move into service. We talked a little bit about a summer access program for the local parks, working collaboratively with Erie County and the New York State Parks. We'll be running service seven (7) Saturdays this summer to seven (7) different parks and a programming component that they will be putting on, the Parks. We will be doing some joint promotion for a service that will be leaving the MTC approximately three (3) times per day. We also did an update on the DL&W. Talked about the infrastructure in the station targeting completion date of January of $^{\prime}$ 24. We talked about the pedestrian bridge and the east end access as well as multimodal access study we've got ongoing. We also had a brief discussion on ridership. Bus ridership year-to-date is up twelve percent (12%) from last year. Rail is up thirty-seven percent

(37%) for an overall increase over last year of sixteen percent (16%), but we also reported we are at fifty-five percent (55%) of our prepandemic numbers. And we concluded the meeting with a report on CAC and AAC, our advisory committees, their reports from those two (2) meetings and then the police department also had a report for us. And that's it. Thank you.

CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you very much Tom. And now I turn it over to Kim for the Surface Transportation Resolutions.

MS. MINKEL: Thank you Sister. There are eight (8) Surface Transportation Resolutions. The first one on page seventy-five (75). Staff recommends the Board award a construction contract with Savarino in the lump sum amount of \$616,079.76. This is to rehabilitate the exterior building shell of University Station, Humboldt and Utica rail stations. This is to prevent water infiltration into the stations. These are all original stations so we are starting to see some leakage so this will replace the gaskets, it will re-caulk the windows, the skylights, and provide select portions of the concrete exterior and other improvements that were identified as part of the design process. Savarino is coming up short of our MWBE, SDVOB goals. They have requested a partial waiver to ESD. Their MBE participation will be just under nine-point-four percent (9.4%). Their WBE participation, six point three (6.3) and they are meeting the goal of the SDVOB that was set by the Authority.

The next Resolution is a procurement of security equipment from Stark Tech. This is in the total amount of \$190,120.79. This is to complete the development that was planned for the DL&W Station. This was procured separately from the construction contract because we could get better pricing through OGS, and it could help control the timing of

the equipment installation. Similarly, the next Resolution on page seventy-eight (78), is for the network equipment in the station and this would be coming from FirstLight in the total amount of \$198,651.93. Again, we procured it separately through OGS and outside of the construction contract to better control the cost.

On page seventy-nine (79), Staff is recommending an extension of the permit agreement with SUNY for the Park and Ride Facilities at the University Station. This would be a seven (7) year agreement that would take us through the end of August 31st of 2028. This allows rent and the use of the parking area for approximately 312 vehicles. We would be responsible for the electricity and there is a one-time reimbursement for the capital construction cost of re-paving that parking lot not to exceed \$200,000.00. The rent payment that would start in September of this year would be \$16,243.90 and thereafter there would be an annual increase to the CPI for each year of the agreement. In addition as part of the agreement, we would provide 200 bulk fare transit passes each month to be used by the students in the UB Education Opportunity Program. This is the college preparatory program that provides support for disadvantaged students.

The next Resolution, Tom had mentioned as part of his report, we're asking the Board to waive fares for us to do this pilot bus service to promote use of seven (7) different parks here in our community. We would operate on Saturdays during the seven (7) weeks during the summer, outside of the 4th of July weekend. And we would work with New York State Parks and Erie County Parks Department to help promote this pilot program. We think this will provide a wonderful opportunity for those in the community to have access to the parks.

Corporate, excuse me, Surface Resolution Number Six (6) on

page eighty-two (82). Staff is recommending an authorization for agreement with D.C.B. Elevator. This is to provide maintenance and service for our elevators and escalators. This would be a one-year agreement with the option of four additional one-year periods. The total estimated aggregate cost is \$3,036,562.52 for all five (5) years of the agreement. We did go out with an RFP for this. Only one responsive proposal was received. The established MBE, WBE and SDVOB goals were zero percent (0%), thirty percent (30%) and zero percent (0%). D.C.B. has identified their MBE participation is zero percent (0%) but their WBE participation is one hundred percent (100%) for this project and we are waiving the SDVOB goals because there are no certified providers of this service. One thing I want to highlight on this agreement... Last year, so if you take a look at year one (1) of the agreement, the routine maintenance cost will be just over half a million dollars. Last year it was \$643,000.00, so it's come down. It's come down because we're replacing a number of the escalators. So, although we have those large capital costs, the ongoing maintenance costs, we are seeing the benefits going forward.

The seventh Resolution is on page eighty-four (84), and this is for NITTEC. This is in the amount of \$150,000.00 as part of their annual maintenance and support of the system. It is with IBI Group, and this would support their Transportation Technology Coalition Advanced Traffic Management System for this year. And the final Resolution is an authorization for a supplemental agreement with Stantec Consulting in the amount of \$175,000.00 to modify the current scope in response to a planning study that they have looking at the Scajaquada Route 198 Expressway and as part of this, they will prepare recommendations based on the technical assessment and community and

stakeholder involvement. This is through GBNRTC. As a host agency, this comes to this Board for approval. Hal Morse is here if there are any questions. Hal, I don't know if there are any highlights of this study that you want to mention?

MR. MORSE:

Thanks, Kim. It's not a lot, but it is going well, and we are nearing the final quarter, probably, of this study. I just want to mention it was originally the Scajaquada Study that had been underway by the State for eighteen (18) years. They were never able to achieve a consensus solution, so the Governor and the State Transportation Commissioner asked us to pick it up, redesign the geography if you will, bigger study area, more community involvement, and look at how transportation interfaces them with the communities, economic, local development, all the assets in this area around the Scajaquada, and how they are affected by a "consensed" solution.

Community outreach has been great. We have a meeting next week with over 200 registered already. And that has been... we originally specked three (3) possible scenarios to contract community input...

COMMISSIONER PERRY: [SNEEZE]

2.4

MR. MORSE: Bless you.

COMMISSIONER PERRY: Thank you.

MR. MORSE:

But the community has kind of driven us to come up with a fourth one. So I need our consultants to help us develop this fourth one, work it through with the Community and the stakeholders, and help us achieve this consensus solution hopefully over the summer which we can then input in the design process and get this project going. Also, at the same time, now we have the Kensington Project going on and we are looking how we will interface with that. So, it's been good. We're getting to the conclusion, but I do need more some work on

that. Our Board has authorized it and I ask you to secure that. Questions?

MS. MINKEL: Great. Thank you, Hal. And those are the resolutions, Sister.

CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you very much Kim. I am going to suggest that we take all eight (8) of these as a group unless there is an objection by a Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER BLUE: Sister. This is Reverend Blue. I have a question.

CHAIR ROCHE: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COMMISSIONER BLUE: We are, as the NFTA, we are responsible for making sure that we, not only the fiduciary responsibilities, but the MWBE program that we have established. If companies, who we know our program exists for MWBEs is not meeting those criteria. We are giving them a waiver for a substantial amount of business that they are going to do for the NFTA. Waivers are excuses, to me, for not hiring in the parameters, within the parameters that we have established as the NFTA. We need to be very careful about that because there's a substantial amount of money that's going to these organizations, to these companies, to these contractors. And if they know our goals, we should not give waivers unless there is a sole source or that company is real small, which I understand. But for companies that are not, contractors that are not, and we have established goals for MWBE, we should not be giving a lot of these waivers out when they know our criteria. So, this will help them to do the proper protocols, and proper things, and responsibilities as contractors to hire within those parameters. So, we're, we're giving waivers. I, I want to caution us because of the high standards that we have. That we do not lower these standards and

we use contractors who want to bid in on the projects that we have, they should adhere to those principals and standards that we have set. We're setting a tone, that if you don't have these standards, then we can give you a waiver and that's, to me, is an excuse for these contractors for not fulfilling the obligations that we have set. So, I want to caution us in the work that we're doing, that we're making sure that our communities benefit from the standards that we have set and that we do not just give waivers to companies that have the means to hire within the standards that we have set.

MS. MINKEL:

So Reverend Blue... If I might make a comment.

The NFTA does not issue waivers on the MWDBE goals. That comes from Empire State Development. We do not issue waivers. The only waiver we issue is on the SDVOB goals, Service Disable Veteran, that is the only waiver that the NFTA issues. I should also mention to the Board we got an A+ rating from Empire State Development for our MWBE goals. This past year, we exceeded our goals. Thirty-five percent (35%) of our procurements went to MWBEs. Something we're very proud of which equates to, Mark, correct me if I am wrong, just under \$14 million...

MR. PEREIA: That is correct.

MS. MINKEL: ...in total dollars spread among fifty-seven (57)
or sixty-two (62) different vendors. Do you remember? Significant
number but to be clear, we do not issue the waivers. That comes from
Empire State Development.

COMMISSION PERRY: OK. Can I inquire? So... are we...

COMMISSIONER HICKS: Hold on. Ms. Minkel. Ms. Minkel. I'm sorry. I'm sorry I am on the outside, but I do want to say this. I think Ms.

Minkel has done a very good job in trying to make sure things are done

the right way but also, we have a rule and because we have to make sure

we are examining in this arena to make sure we continue to maintain keep our good track record and really the goal should be to increase that track record because we are a public authority. I understand your statement and I support these words of caution to make sure that we continue to meet those goals both for this organization and this community. Thank you, Kim. That will end my little soliloguy.

MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter.

2.4

CHAIR ROCHE: Commissioner Perry?

COMMISSIONER BLUE: This is Reverend Blue again. I did not mean to imply that we issue those waivers. I thank you for that correction and for that understanding. If the ESD, knows of our criteria, then they ought to help us to reinforce those goals so individuals, that we meet those goals. And I want to thank you, Ms. Minkel, for the high standards that you have spearheaded for the NFTA, and we want to continue to do that. And we want to set the mark, the benchmark for other agencies, and other authorities, so again, thank you for that.

CHAIR ROCHE: Yes, Commissioner Perry?

COMMISSIONER PERRY:

I want to say that based on the information,
the statistics we just heard, we actually do set the mark for these
other agencies because we rate higher than them, so we are setting the
pace. We're the pacesetters. We're in the lead. We have the highest
grade compared to these other agencies so that's what we are already
doing. The other thing, legally, if ESD, which we don't control, issues
a waiver, we can't say, we can't reject that, we don't have the legal
power to do that, do we?

MS. MINKEL: I don't believe so.

COMMISSIONER PERRY: I don't believe we do either. I know a little bit about this, but I don't believe we do. So, I think the point from

19 20

22 23

21

24

25

26

27

28

concern, what we need to do is we need to talk to our elected officials and tell them that they are needing to talk to ESD about the waivers that they are issuing. My concern is, is that if we're at the table and saying "NFTA, we're not doing good enough, we've got to do better", in something that is beyond our control in a situation where we are meeting or exceeding the highest standards of any other agency, that's going to have people making the kind of comments that we have heard recently about how NFTA can do better and all this kind of stuff when actually considering the power of the elected officials who we have from Western New York at the highest levels of the Senate, and the Assembly, and the Governor's Office, if we have a problem with that, let's address those issues directly and immediately to those people and tell them what we want. But I also think that we need to make clear to the media, to some elected officials who want to say NFTA is not doing a good enough, and it needs to do better, clearly that we want better outcomes, but we have done everything we have to achieve them and that we want those others to step up and take the next step. So ...

the table is if we are concerned, which we are, we've heard a lot of

COMMISSIONER ANSARI: Can I add, maybe we should have a little clarity? It looks like from the Resolution that we are approving the waiver. If it's Empire Development that approving the waiver, then it needs to say that, instead it looks like the NFTA Board is approving the waiver.

COMMISSIONER BAYNES: It says it was submitted to the ESD.

COMMISSIONER ANSARI: Yes, but we do not understand it that way. So

maybe we need to have a little clarity, understanding?

MS. MINKEL: Yes, I think we can work on the wording.

COMMISSIONER ANSARI: Yes, just to... ESD... nobody really... The communities care nothing about that. It looks like us.

CHAIR ROCHE: And excused by ESD...

COMMISSIONER ANSARI: Right. So it looks like we're doing it and

we're approving it based on their recommendation. In fact, they're doing it, but it looks like we control it.

MS. MINKEL: I understand...

COMMISSIONER ANSARI: You know what I'm saying?

MS. MINKEL:

We can work on the verbiage. And to be clear, there's also DBE goals which are Federal goals which are different so, there's a lot of alphabets here that make it confusing. One thing that I think is to the Board's point, that Staff is working on because there's always room for improvement, is to hold contractors accountable for what they are reporting and what they are saying in terms of participation. And, that's something we've been working on and that's an area that I think there's always room for improvement. I know you didn't mention it, but I know that's the intention of the comments as well.

COMMISSIONER BLUE: May I ask a question, not to belabor this but the two (2) contractors, and I am just going to use this as a comparison, I believe on Resolution Number Four (4), the company's names are Savarino and Co. and Mark, the other company. I know Savarino's came in at a lower bid and my question is, they came in at a lower bid, which I understand, but is the other company meeting the goals? Without having a waiver? Se, and that's the question. They may come in at the lowest contract or bid but are they meeting the standards that we have set as the NFTA without having a waiver? See, that is something to consider when we're approving these contracts as well. I understand the lowest bid and I understand being fiduciary

2 everybody else has their standards, the Federal Standards and 3 everything else but that's something again, that we need to work on 4 going forward. MR. SCHAEFER: 5 Reverend Blue? It's John Schaefer. If you don't 6 mind. That procurement came through my department. The other bidder was 7 Cerrone. They were also low on some of the parameters for MWBE so both 8 were looked at simultaneously and both had some up short on certain 9 parameters. So they were vetted or were looked at at the same time for that type of instance of it appears you are implying someone is meeting 10 the goals and maybe having to come in at more of a price, than someone 11 12 not meeting the goals and coming in at the low bid. Both were 13 entertained and looked at at the same time. 14 COMMISSIONER BAYNES: John, so this whole project of almost 15 \$700,000.00, that's only two (2) bidders? MR. SCHAEFER: Yes. 16 COMMISSIONER BAYNES: 17 So, did we not put it out to Rodriguez or 18 anybody else? MR. SCHAEFER: So it goes out and advertises. Rodriguez is on 19 20 our frequent caller list and what I mean by that is, they'll sign up to 21 get new launches, here's what we got, we hope you are interested. Some 22 of the things I do want to point out, when you do the math, and we see 23 what's available... 24 COMMISSIONER BAYNES: Right. MR. SCHAEFER: 25 ...it's not uncommon for when somebody looks at 26 all of the percentages that we are demanding... 27 COMMISSIONER BAYNES: Right.

responsible, but are they meeting that goals that we set? I know

1

28

MR. SCHAEFER:

...that they say "it's not worth it to me". It's

2 COMMISSIONER BAYNES: No, I get it. MR. SCHAEFER: So, that is some of the rationale that comes 3 4 in. Maybe that's why Rodriguez, I can't speak for them, but that has 5 been told to us in the past. There are specialties that come about, you 6 know, like I said, window glazing is one (1) of them, there are only so 7 many window glazers out there. There's a percentage, don't know what 8 type of ownership it is but there is a percentage there. What's left 9 for them to make money is worth it to them. COMMISSIONER BAYNES: 10 Right. MR. SCHAEFER: And again, I am not sticking up for how they 11 12 put their bid together, but I know that is some of the feedback we got 13 is someone says "it's just not worth it for me to come in. I've done all I can. Here is my bid, or not". 14 15 CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you. That was a very good discussion. Are there any other comments before we call for the vote on these eight (8) 16 resolutions. And we did not have a motion, right? 17 MS. BRUNETTE: That's right. 18 CHAIR ROCHE: 19 OK. So may I have a motion? REVEREND BLUE: This is Reverend Blue. I would like to offer a 20 21 motion that we accept these resolutions. CHAIR ROCHE: 22 Thank you very much Reverend Blue. Is there a 23 second? 24 COMMISSIONER AUL: Second. CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you, Joan. And we'll have a roll call 25 26 vote. MS. BRUNETTE: This is a roll call vote for Surface 27

not worth it to me and my company or worth my efforts...

1

28

Resolutions One (1) through Eight (8). Commissioner Ansari?

1 | COMMISSIONER ANSARI: Yes.

2 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Aul?

3 | COMMISSIONER AUL: Yes.

4 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Baynes?

5 | COMMISSIONER BAYNES: Baynes is abstaining from one (1).

6 | COMMISSIONER PERRY: Approve the rest?

7 | COMMISSIONER BAYNES: Good with the rest.

8 MS. BRUNETTE: Alright. Thank you.

9 CHAIR ROCHE: Laughter.

10 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Blue?

11 | COMMISSIONER BLUE: Yes.

12 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Hicks?

13 COMMISSIONER HICKS: Yes.

14 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Hughes?

15 | COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Yes.

16 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Perry?

17 | COMMISSIONER PERRY: Not to be outdone by Baynes, I'm going to

abstain on Number Four (4) and vote in the affirmative on the rest.

19 CHAIR ROCHE: Laughter.

20 MS. BRUNETTE: Thank you Mr. Perry. Commissioner Persico?

21 Commissioner Persico?

22 | COMMISSIONER PERSICO: Yes.

23 MS. BRUNETTE: Commissioner Tucker?

24 COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Yes.

25 MS. BRUNETTE: Sister Denise?

26 CHAIR ROCHE: Yes.

27 | MS. BRUNETTE: And Commissioner Wilcox?

28 | COMMISSIONER WILCOX: Yes.

The items are approved. CHAIR ROCHE: Thank you very much. Is there a General Counsel 3 Report today? 4 MS. BRUNETTE: There is Sister. Just a short and brief 5 reminder about your financial disclosure statements. They did go live, 6 and Staff has received theirs. We're assuming you, Commissioners, have 7 also received them. We had a couple hiccups. So if you haven't received 8 yours... 9 COMMISSIONER BAYNES: I did not. I did not get mine either. 10 COMMISSIONER PERRY: MS. BRUNETTE: ...your email from JCOPE... 11 COMMISIONER PERRY: 12 Are we still getting the email from that 13 woman ? MS. BRUNETTE: That woman ETHEL? 14 MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter. 15 16 COMMISSIONER TUCKER: She reached out to me a couple weeks ago. MS. BRUNETTE: 17 Commissioner Perry, you still haven't received 18 yours? And anyone else has not received theirs? COMMISSIONER BAYNES: I don't believe so, but I will check. 19 We'll take a look too ... MS. BRUNETTE: 20 COMMISSIONER BAYNES: 21 It probably went to spam. MS. BRUNETTE: 22 They did remind us to have people check their 23 spam, trash and your privacy settings and things like that. The 24 deadline for filing those or requesting an extension is Monday, May 16th. 25 COMMISSIONER BAYNES: 26 JCOPE should be out of business by now. 27 MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter.

MS. BRUNETTE:

1

28

MS. BRUNETTE:

So, if you have any questions, please reach

out, but we will check on Commissioners Perry and Baynes. 1 COMMISSIONER AUL: Yeah, and thank you. I saw you sent me the 3 link. 4 MS. BRUNETTE: Yes. COMMISSIONER AUL: 5 So, that may be the path of least resistance. 6 MS. BRUNETTE: I think it might be. 7 COMMISSIONER AUL: And just go into the link and then ... 8 MS. BRUNETTE: We'll do that quick. Yeah, that might be the 9 easiest way at this point. 10 COMMISSIONER AUL: Yeah. MS. BRUNETTE: And that's it. 11 COMMISSIONER BLUE: This is Reverend Blue. Can you send me that 12 13 link as well? I believe I saw it, but I have so many emails coming to 14 me. I will. I will Commissioner. 15 MS. BRUNETTE: 16 COMMISSIONER BLUE: Thank you. CHAIR ROCHE: 17 It's hard to recognize it too. MS. MINKEL: 18 Yes. MS. BRUNETTE: 19 That's it. CHAIR ROCHE: 20 That's it. There is no need today for an 21 Executive Session unless some ... yes? MR. GEORGE: 22 One quick announcement, for those that are 23 interested, on your way out today on the Ellicott Street side is our 24 brand new battery electric bus for anybody to take a quick look. COMMISSIONER BAYNES: Can we take a quick ride? 25 COMMISSIONER PERRY: Can we drive it? 26 27 MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS: Laughter.

If you have your CDL, yes.

28

MS. MINKEL:

1	MR. GEORGE:	We can take a ride, but you're not driving.
2	MULTIPLE COMMISSIONERS:	Laughter.
3	CHAIR ROCHE:	Those of you who aren't with us are going to
4	miss seeing the bus but	maybe you saw it last week so, the new electric
5	bus is outside for show	and tell. And may I have a motion for
6	adjournment?	
7	COMMISSIONER ANSARI:	So moved.
8	COMMISSIONER TUCKER:	Second.
9	CHAIR ROCHE:	Thank you All. Thank you All. Thank you.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		